arXiv:1305.1186v1 [astro-ph.HE] 6 May 2013

# Falsification of the MRI in the Two-Dimensional Axial Symmetry

Giovanni Montani[1] and Daniela Pugliese[2]
[1] *ENEA - C.R. Frascati (Rome), UTFUS-MAG.*
*Physics Department, "Sapienza" University of Rome, c/o VEF,*
*"Sapienza" Università di Roma, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma (Italy)*
[2] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK.*
(Dated: May 7, 2013)

We analyze a linear perturbation scheme for a two-dimensional background plasma, which is rotating with a differential frequency and is embedded in a poloidal magnetic field. The plasma profile is axially symmetric, both in the background and the perturbation approximation and the azimuthal magnetic field is requested to vanish identically. The main assumption of the present study is that in the non-stationary regime still holds the dependence of the angular frequency on the magnetic surface function only, which, on the steady background equilibrium, is ensured by the validity of the co-rotation theorem (Ferraro 1937). Indeed, such a restriction of the model is rather natural and it implies that the azimuthal component of the linear plasma shift is reabsorbed in the expression for the non stationary electric field (in principle, at any order of approximation) and can no longer provide a non-zero azimuthal component of the magnetic tension field. As a result, the Magneto-rotational instability is suppressed and the magnetic field has the effect to stabilize the plasma configuration with respect to the pure hydrodynamical case.

Keywords: Plasma physics; Axial symmetry; Magneto-rotational instability (MRI); Co-rotation theorem

The presence of rotation in the quasi-stationary state of a magnetized plasma is a common feature, both in astrophysical and laboratory systems. In stellar accretion disks, the plasma rotates because of its orbital motion in the gravitational field of the central body [1]. In Tokamak experiments, the emergence of rotation profiles is observed as spontaneous tendency of the system evolution and its origin is still questioned, though three main proposals stand [2].

The coupling between the magnetic field, in which the plasma is embedded, and the differential rotation of its different layers, lead to the appearance of unstable modes, named Magneto-rotational instability (MRI), first discovered by Velikhov in 1959 [3] and applied to an astrophysical context by Chandrasekhar in 1960 [4]. This effect is relevant in stellar accretion disks, as well as in Tokamak configurations in order to trigger the onset of a turbulent behavior in both these scenarios of plasma dynamics. The merit of having properly characterized this crucial role of MRI in determining the transition from a laminar to a disordered regime of a differentially rotating plasma is due to Balbus and collaborators in the early nineteens [5] (see also [6]).

In the case of a plasma disk, surrounding a compact astrophysical object, the presence of the MRI is the only viable mechanism to generate a turbulent transport, responsible for accretion, when restated as a laminar viscoresistive behavior, according to the original idea for angular momentum transport [7].

In the Tokamak plasma profiles are commonly registered collective behaviors of the configuration, associated to both toroidal and poloidal rotation velocities. Such a phenomenon, dubbed *spontaneous rotation*, is often favourable to the plasma confinement, allowing steepen profiles of temperature and density toward the torus axis. However the plasma rotation is naturally suppressed in favor of a turbulent evolution and, often, it takes place a real interplay between the laminar and chaotic regimes. In such a interchange, the MRI is expected to play a role in originating the unstable modes, then evolving toward the onset of turbulence, whose associated transport is, in general, a source of spread for the plasma confinement [8].

For a discussion on the parallelism existing between the axial symmetry of a stellar accretion disk and a Tokamak configuration see [9], which was recently enforced by the development of local disk profiles [10, 11] characterized by magnetic field micro-structures resembling well-known features of the laboratory plasma physics. For the generalization of such profiles to the global radial equilibrium of a steady state of an accretion disk see [12], while their impact on the implementation of the Shakura prescription in a fully magneto-hydrodynamical scheme is analyzed in [13].

Here, we consider a two-dimensional axisymmetric configuration of the plasma, built up by a steady background, characterized by a rotating plasma, and a first order linear perturbation theory, which introduces a time dependence in the model. The normal modes analysis is performed around a local configuration of the background, determined by fiducial values of the radial and vertical coordinates. The analyzed configuration is restricted by requiring that the angular velocity is, at any order of approximation, a function of the magnetic surface only. For the stationary axisymmetric configuration this feature is assured by the co-rotation (also known as iso-rotation) theorem of Ferraro [14], but, in the linear time dependent perturbation scheme, it plays the role of a specific assumption on the considered configuration. Furthermore, we require that the azimuthal component of the magnetic field identically vanishes. Its exact validity is crucial in the derivation below, however such a restriction could be motivated *a posteriori* both for astrophysical and Tokamak systems.

Starting from these two statements, we arrive to demonstrate that, in such a system, the MRI is suppressed and that a sufficiently strong magnetic field, is even able to remove pure hydrodynamical instabilities.

The mechanism responsible for the MRI suppression is the possibility of reabsorbing the azimuthal component of the plasma shift into the poloidal electric field. Indeed, we are in practice working in the Coulomb gauge, dealing with a time-dependent electric potential, made up of the magnetic surface function only. Thus, the time dependence of the perturbed magnetic field arising in the plasma is fixed by the pure poloidal component of the shift and the azimuthal component of the magnetic tension vanishes, implying the MRI suppression.

This result is of impact both in the astrophysical and laboratory settings, because it shows how, if the azimuthal magnetic field is sufficiently small and the angular frequency is forced to strictly follow the magnetic surface structure, the fundamental Magnetohydrodynamical instability, affecting the plasma rotation profiles, i.e. the MRI paradigm is naturally removed. Indeed in the Tokamak configurations the transition from spontaneous rotation to turbulent regimes is expected to take place by non-linear transitions in regions of the system parameters (background magnetic field and perturbation wavelength), where MRI is anyway suppressed[2, 8, 15–18]. Otherwise the issue of the present analysis strongly concerns the angular momentum transport in astrophysics (see the discussion at the end of the paper). In fact, when the MRI is absent in the linear backreaction of an accreting disk plasma, there exist no firm alternative scenario to generate the effective dissipation required by the standard model for angular momentum balance across the configuration [1, 7, 19].

Having in mind the small scale linear perturbations to a purely rotating plasma disk, let us consider the system made of the Faraday law and of the electron force balance

$$\partial_t\vec{B} = -c\vec{\nabla}\wedge\vec{E}, \quad \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c}\wedge\vec{B} = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ denote the electric and the magnetic field respectively. Equation (1) admits the solution

$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\Phi - \frac{1}{c}\partial_t\vec{A}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{A}$ is the vector potential, such that the magnetic field $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla}\wedge\vec{A}$, while $\Phi$ denotes the time dependent electric potential (indeed, we are operating in the Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A} = 0$). Substituting this solution into the electron force balance, we get the fundamental relation

$$\vec{\nabla}\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\partial_t\vec{A} = \frac{\vec{v}}{c}\wedge\vec{B}\,. \tag{3}$$

We now specialize this scheme to the two-dimensional axial symmetry, by assigning a vector potential of the form $\vec{A} = (\psi(t,r,z^2)/r)\vec{e}_\phi$, where we adopted cylindrical coordinates $\{r,\phi,z\}$ and we take the magnetic flux surface function $\psi$ as depending on $z^2$ because the reflection symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane $z = 0$ is postulated (for a stellar accretion disk, this is due to the symmetry of the gravostatic equilibrium). The resulting magnetic field takes the explicit form

$$\vec{B} = -\frac{1}{r}\partial_z\psi\vec{e}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r\psi\vec{e}_z\,. \tag{4}$$

We also consider the following velocity field in the plasma

$$\vec{v} = \omega(\psi)r\vec{e}_\phi + \vec{v}_p\,, \tag{5}$$

where $\vec{v}_p = v_r\vec{e}_r + v_z\vec{e}_z$ denotes the poloidal velocity field in the meridian plane. This choice of the magnetic and velocity fields contains two main assumptions, the vanishing nature of the toroidal component $B_\phi$ and that the angular frequency of the disk depends, on the magnetic flux surface, $\omega = \omega(\psi)$. Nonetheless, the considered system is rather general in axial symmetry and it does not contain, in principle, any restriction able to suppress the MRI. Using the expressions above for $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{v}$ to rewrite the relation (3), we get

$$\vec{\nabla}\Phi + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial_t\psi}{r}\vec{e}_\phi = \frac{\omega(\psi)}{c}\vec{\nabla}\psi - \frac{1}{cr}\left(\vec{v}_p\cdot\vec{\nabla}\psi\right)\vec{e}_\phi\,. \tag{6}$$

From the poloidal and azimuthal components of this equation, we arrive to write down the two key relations

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} = \frac{\omega(\psi)}{c}, \tag{7}$$

$$\partial_t\psi = -\vec{v}_p\cdot\vec{\nabla}\psi. \tag{8}$$

In what follows, it will be convenient to use relation (8) in its vector form

$$\partial_t\vec{A} = \vec{v}_p\wedge\vec{B}\,. \tag{9}$$

We now consider a linear perturbation scheme to the background equilibrium of a rotating plasma disk, having angular velocity $\omega = \omega_0(\psi_0(r_0, z_0^2))$, a mass density $\rho = \rho_0(r_0, z_0^2)$ and the pressure $p = p_0(r_0, z_0^2)$, and being embedded in a magnetic field described by a surface function $\psi_0(r_0, z_0)$ (for a detailed discussion of the static force balance, see the discussion below, at the end of the linear perturbation calculus).

Here $r_0$ and $z_0$ are two fiducial values of the radial and vertical coordinates respectively, around which we develop the local behavior of the perturbations, whose wavelength is assumed to be sufficiently small to explore only the region nearby such background circumference. In this approximation limit, we take the magnetic surfaces in the form $\psi = \psi_0(r_0, z_0^2) + \psi_1(t,r,z)$, with $\mid\psi_1\mid\ll\mid\psi_0\mid$ and

$$\mid(\vec{\nabla}\psi_1)\mid\ll\mid(\vec{\nabla}_0\psi_0)\mid\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\vec{\nabla}_0$ denotes the gradient operator with respect to the fixed space coordinates $r_0, z_0$. Such a condition clearly states that the perturbed magnetic field is much smaller than the background one, as requested by the linear approximation. However, we also require the natural inverse relation for the second order gradients (current density), i.e.

$$\mid (\vec{\nabla}^2\psi_1) \gg\mid (\vec{\nabla}_0^2\psi_0) \mid \, . \tag{11}$$

This paradigm for the gradient fields corresponds to the natural hierarchy relation in the plasma. We introduce the poloidal shift vector $\vec{\xi}_p$ of the plasma, by defining the corresponding poloidal velocity as $\vec{v}_p(t,r,z) = \partial_t\vec{\xi}_p(t,r,z)$. While, the angular velocity admits the local development

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \omega(\psi_0(r_0-\xi_{pr}, z_0-\xi_{pz}) + \psi_1) \simeq \\ \omega_0 - \omega'_{0r}\xi_{pr} - \omega'_{0z}\xi_{pz} + \dot{\omega}_0\psi_1 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where we introduced the notation

$$\omega'_{0x} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x}\right)_{x=x_0}, \dot{\omega}_0 \equiv \left(\frac{d\omega}{d\psi}\right)_{\psi=\psi_0} . \tag{13}$$

From this expression, it is immediate to reach the identification $\partial_t\xi_\phi = \dot{\omega}_0\psi_1$. In order to focus our analysis to the main features of the MRI, we consider incompressible perturbations, i.e. we require $\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{v_1} = 0$ and hence we get $\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\xi}_p = 0$. By equation (9) we get the following expression for the perturbed magnetic field

$$\vec{B}_1 = (\vec{B}_0\cdot\vec{\nabla})\vec{\xi}_p \, . \tag{14}$$

Thus, the magnetic tension force $\vec{T}^{(m)}$ takes the form (the background pressure and tension are negligible by means of the inequality (11))

$$\vec{T}^{(m)} = \rho_0 v_A^2 (\hat{B}_0\cdot\vec{\nabla})^2\vec{\xi}_p \, , \tag{15}$$

here $\hat{B}_0$ is the unit vector in the magnetic field direction and $v_A$ denotes the Alfven speed associated to the background. Taking the Fourier representation of the perturbation $\vec{\xi}_p$

$$\vec{\xi}_p = \vec{\bar{\xi}}_p(t)\exp\{i(\vec{k}_p\cdot\vec{r}_p\} \, , \tag{16}$$

where the index $p$ denotes the poloidal component of the wave and position vectors. We note that using conditions (10) and (11) we take $k_p r_0 \gg 1$ and $k_p r_0 \ll \psi_0/\psi_1$. In order to select pure Alfven perturbations, i.e. to eliminate the magnetic pressure from the problem, we restrict our attention to wave-vectors of the form $\vec{k}_p = k\hat{B}_0$, so that, as a consequence of the incompressibility (namely $\vec{k}_p\cdot\vec{\xi}_p = 0$), we obtain the constraint $\hat{B}_0\cdot\vec{\xi}_p = 0$. Then, the magnetic tension takes the form

$$\vec{T}^{(m)} = -\rho_0 k^2 v_A^2\vec{\xi}_p \equiv -\rho_0\omega_A^2\vec{\xi}_p \, . \tag{17}$$

The momentum conservation equations, for the velocity perturbations $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_{p1} + v_{\phi 1}\vec{e}_\phi$, take the form

$$\rho_0\left(\partial_t v_{r1} - 2\omega_0 v_{\phi 1}\right) = T_r^{(m)}, \tag{18}$$

$$\rho_0\partial_t v_{z1} = T_z^{(m)}, \tag{19}$$

$$\rho_0\left(\partial_t v_{\phi 1} + 2\omega_0 v_{r1} + r_0\omega'_{0r}v_{r1} + r_0\omega'_{0z}v_{z1}\right) = T_\phi^{(m)}, \tag{20}$$

e.g. [1]. By means of the natural relations

$$v_{r1} = \partial_t\xi_{pr} \, , \quad v_{z1} = \partial_t\xi_{pz}, \tag{21}$$

$$v_{\phi 1} = r_0\left(\dot{\omega}_0\psi_1 - \omega'_{0r}\xi_{pr} - \omega'_{0z}\xi_{pz}\right) \tag{22}$$

the explicit form of the magnetic tension, the momentum conservation equations rewrite as

$$\partial_t^2\xi_{pr} - 2\omega_0 r_0\dot{\omega}_0\psi_1 = -(y_r + \omega_A^2)\xi_{pr} - y_z\xi_{pz}, \tag{23}$$

$$\partial_t^2\xi_{pz} = -\omega_A^2\xi_{pz}, \tag{24}$$

$$r_0\dot{\omega}_0\partial_t\psi_1 + 2\omega_0\partial_t\xi_{pr} = 0 \, , \tag{25}$$

where we adopted the notation $y_p \equiv 2r_0\omega_0\omega'_{0p}$. Here, we retained the full dependence in $\vec{\xi}_p$ and then the partial time derivative, since we did not yet Fourier expand $\psi_1$. The dynamics of the vertical shift $\xi_{pz}$ is fixed by equation (24) and it yields a stable behavior of the plasma along such a direction. Equation (25) can be easily integrated, getting the relation

$$r_0\dot{\omega}_0\psi_1 = -2\omega_0\xi_{pr} \, , \tag{26}$$

which substituted into equation (23) gives the following equation for $\bar{\xi}_{pr}$

$$\partial_t^2\bar{\xi}_{pr} = -(\mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2)\bar{\xi}_{pr} - y_z\bar{\xi}_{pz} \, , \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_0$ denotes the epicyclic frequency, i.e.

$$\mathcal{K}_0^2 \equiv \frac{1}{r_0^3}\partial_{r_0}(\omega_0^2 r_0^4) = 4\omega_0^2 + y_r \, . \tag{28}$$

Deriving equation (27) two times in $t$ and using equation (23) we obtain:

$$\partial_t^4\bar{\xi}_{pr} = -(\mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2)\partial_t^2\bar{\xi}_{pr} + y_z\omega_A^2\bar{\xi}_{pz} \, . \tag{29}$$

Using again equation (27) in equation (29) one has

$$\partial_t^4\bar{\xi}_{pr} + (\mathcal{K}_0^2 + 2\omega_A^2)\partial_t^2\bar{\xi}_{pr} + \omega_A^2(\mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2)\bar{\xi}_{pr} = 0 \, . \tag{30}$$

Taking the following plane-wave representation for the time dependence of $\bar{\xi}_{pr}$

$$\bar{\xi}_{pr} = \hat{\xi}_{pr}\exp\{-i\Omega t\}, \tag{31}$$

equation (30) reduces to

$$\Omega^4 - (\mathcal{K}_0^2 + 2\omega_A^2)\Omega^2 + \omega_A^2(\mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2) = 0, \tag{32}$$

admitting the following solutions

$$\Omega^2 = \omega_A^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^2 = \mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2. \tag{33}$$

In the absence of the magnetic field, i.e. $\omega_A \equiv 0$, the dispersion relations (33) reduce to the well-known stability condition $\mathcal{K}_0^2 > 0$ (see [6] for a discussion on the Rayleigh criterion for local stability). Such a condition states that the specific angular momentum of the plasma radially increases and it holds as far as the angular frequency sufficiently smooth decays. When the plasma is magnetized, for having stability, the relation (33) requires $\mathcal{K}_0^2 + \omega_A^2 > 0$ . Since $\omega_A^2$ is intrinsically positive by its definition, the effect of the magnetic field consists of the stabilization of an unstable part of the spectrum for the non-magnetized (neutral fluid) configuration. In this scheme, the MRI is completely suppressed and replaced by an opposite effect, which stabilizes the differential rotation profile of the plasma and prevents the onset of a turbulent behavior.

Let us now analyze if the result above remains valid in the case of a non-vanishing azimuthal component of the background magnetic field, i.e. $B_{0\phi} \neq 0$. Since the (perturbed) poloidal velocity is a divergenceless field, it can be expressed via a scalar function $\Theta(r, z)$ as follows

$$\vec{v}_p = \frac{\vec{\nabla}\Theta}{r} \wedge \vec{e}_\phi \, . \tag{34}$$

Then, in the right-hand side of equation (6) we would have the additional term $\vec{\nabla}\Theta B_\phi / r$ and the possibility to reabsorb this contribution into the electric potential, requires that it be a pure gradient. Thus, we get the following constraint for the azimuthal magnetic field component $B_\phi = r f(\Theta)$ , $f$ denoting a generic functional form. To understand if such a form of $B_\phi$ is allowed by the considered plasma configuration, we have to analyze the background profile. The magneto-static configuration is fixed by the basic equation

$$\vec{\nabla}_0 p_0 - \rho_0 \omega_0^2 r_0 \vec{e}_r = \vec{F}_{0L} - \rho_0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \Phi_g \, , \tag{35}$$

where $\vec{F}_L = (\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{B}) \wedge \vec{B}/4\pi$ denotes the Lorentz force, $\Phi_g$ is the gravitational potential (it concerns the stellar accretion disk configuration only) and $p_0$ is the background pressure. By the axial symmetry restriction, the consistency of the azimuthal component of equation (35) clearly implies $F_{0L\phi} = 0$. As it is well-known and it is easily checked by (4), this condition implies $B_{0\phi} = I(\psi_0)/r_0$, here $I$ is a generic function of the magnetic surfaces. Assuming this form as valid at any order, a non-zero azimuthal component of the magnetic field is allowed in the present scheme, as far as the condition $\Theta = g(I/r^2)$ (with $g \equiv f^{-1}$ and $g(I(\psi_0)/r_0^2) = 0$) holds. In the local model we are considering, any function $g$ having at least one zero is suitable for expressing the first order quantity $\Theta$. It is worth noting that, since $\psi$ is here taken even in z, the radial velocity is odd and vanishes on the plane $z = z_0$, so that locally we have no real accretion toward the axis or ejection outward of it. Furthermore even if we consider an azimuthal perturbation of the magnetic field as far as its background value is zero, such perturbations are frozen (see eq. (3)). Anyway, the possibility to neglect the azimuthal magnetic field component can also relay on phenomenological considerations. In Tokamak configuration this component is much smaller than the toroidal (here the vertical) one, almost of the same amount of the ratio between the small and large radius of the torus, although its presence has a physical role in the plasma confinement profile. In the stellar accretion disks, the azimuthal magnetic field is essentially due to the dynamo effect. Thus, it is associated to the turbulent behavior of the plasma, whose onset is triggered by MRI [20]. Thus, in both these cases we can regard the azimuthal field, if present with a generic form, as a weak modification, affecting the picture depicted above.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been developed in the framework of the CGW Collaboration (www.cgwcollaboration.it). DP wishes to thank the Blanceflor Boncompagni-Ludovisi, née Bildt.

[1] G. S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, R. V. E. Lovelace, New. Astron. Rev. **45**, 663 (2001).
[2] J. E. Rice *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **47**, 1618 (2007).
[3] E. P. Velikhov, Sov. Phys. JETP **86**, 1398 (1959).
[4] S. Chandrasekhar, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **46**, 253 (1960).
[5] S. A. Balbus, J. F. Hawley, Astrophys. J. **376**, 214 (1991).
[6] S. A. Balbus, J. F. Hawley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1 (1998).
[7] N. I. Shakura, Sov. Astron. **16**, 756 (1973).
[8] S. T. Hahm, Phys. Plasmas **1**, 2940 (1994).
[9] B. Coppi, Plasma Phys. Contrl. Fus. **36**, B107 (1994).
[10] B. Coppi, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 057302 (2005).
[11] B. Coppi, F. Rousseau, Astrophys. J. **641**, 458 (2006).
[12] G. Montani, R. Benini, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 026406 (2011).
[13] G. Montani, N. Carlevaro, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 123004 (2012).
[14] V. C. A. Ferraro, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **97**, 458 (1937).
[15] P. W. Terry, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 109 (2000).
[16] E. J. Strait *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **14**, 056101 (2007).
[17] W. X. Wang *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 042502 (2011).
[18] S. Kaye, *Why Magnetically Confined Plasmas Rotate and Why it is Important*, Bulletin of the American Physical Sociey **57**, 3, Atlanta, Georgia (2012).
[19] N. I. Shakura, R. A. Sunyaev, Astron. Astrophys. **24**, 337-355 (1973).
[20] E. M. de Gouveia Dal Pino, M. R. M. Leao, R. Santos-Lima, G. Guerrero, G. Kowal, and A. Lazarian, Phys. Scr. **86**, 018401 (2012); R. Santos-Lima, A. Lazarian, E. M. de Gouveia Dal Pino, and J. Cho, Astrophys. J. **714**, 442 (2010); R. Santos-Lima, E. M. de Gouveia Dal Pino, and A. Lazarian, Astrophys. J. **747**, 21 (2012).